

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/891,535 | 06/27/2001 | Jeom Jae Kim | 8733-459.00 | 3210 |
| 30827 7. | 590 03/23/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP | | | KIELIN, ERIK J | |
| | 1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2813 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/891,535 | KIM ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Erik Kielin | 2813 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | ety filed s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July 2004. | | | | | | |
| , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,19-25 and 34-37</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>none</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-25 and 35</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,34 and 36</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) 37 is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/891,535

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 24 May 2004 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. The restriction requirement regarding claims 36 and 37 has been withdrawn. The active claims are 1-10, 19-25, and 34-37.

Claim Objections

Claim 37 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 replace "short-term prevention" with --short-prevention-- for clarity.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/891,535

Art Unit: 2813

5. Claims 1-10, 34, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

Independent claim 1 recites the limitation, "wherein the short-prevention member prevents electric shorts between the at least two conductive members caused by residual material that extends along the edge" wherein the edge necessarily refers to the gate line edge, as required by the limitation, "wherein the gate line includes an edge..." recited earlier in claim 1. Fig. 4 of the instant drawings and the entirety of the specification clearly shows that the "residual material" 305d is formed on the insulating layer 303 -- not along the edge of the gate line.

Because the insulating layer 303 covers the gate line 302 there exists no "residual material that extends along the edge [of the gate line]." Accordingly, it is unclear, as presently written, how there can be "residual material that extends along the edge" that could somehow prevent an electrical short in conductive members along the edge would necessarily be separated from the data line and the associated residual material along the edge would necessarily be separated from the data line and the conductive members therealong, by an insulating layer that would necessarily prevent such a short.

Examiner respectfully submits that this problem is one of claim construction and the claims must make definite the instant invention. In particular, the claims must make clear that the location of the residual material.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 19-25, 35 and 37 (claim 37 pending correction of the typographical error) are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not teach or suggest, in combination with the other claimed limitations, the use of a short-prevention member formed on the insulating layer and over an edge of the gate line to prevent electrical shorts, specifically in the data lines. Note that the Kim device inherently prevents shorts between the source and drain, for reasons indicated in the Office action filed 2/19/2004.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erik Kielin whose telephone number is 571-272-1693. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 - 19:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on 571-272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/891,535

Art Unit: 2813

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Erik Kielin

Primary Examiner

March 18, 2005